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Current Status of EOR Worldwide

• Biennial review published in Oil and Gas Journal in April 2010
• Incomplete
• Worldwide EOR production amounts to ~ 3% of worldwide oil production (OGJ, 19 April 2010)
• ~900 MMstb per year (~240 MMstb per year from USA)
• USA split 45% thermal/55% gas (mainly CO2)
• Most of rest (led by Canada) is thermal
• Main trend going forward (particularly noticeable in USA) is likely to be a massive expansion of CO2 EOR if large amounts of CO2 become available from wide scale adoption of CCS
• Chemical EOR not popular
• Both Government and IOC funded EOR R&D declined
• Chemical EOR dwindled to only 3 small projects in 2010
• Decline in thermal, increase in gas (mainly CO2)
Leading Offshore Hydrocarbon Provinces

- In and around North Sea, Gulf of Mexico, South China Sea, Africa, South America, China
- BUT few reported offshore EOR applications
What’s Reported on Offshore EOR Away from North Sea? (not comprehensive)

- offshore China, polymer, Bohai Bay (heavy oil field), 10-10000 cp, good experience of offshore chemical handling
- offshore Angola, polymer, Dalia/trial in Camelia (3-7cp oil)
- offshore Malaysia, alkaline-surfactant single well test, Angsi I-68, softening of water required, a lot on methodology but no results published (Petronas)
- Lake Maracaibo, alkaline-surfactant-polymer, La Salina (high acid number, 14.7cp, water softening even of low TDS lake water required)
- GoM, N2 injection Cantarell, Ku-Maloob-Zappfield (Petroleos Mexicanos)
- offshore Malaysia, immiscible CO2 (50%)/HCG reinjection, Dulang (Petronas)
- offshore Sarawak, CO2, Baronia RV2 (Petronas/Shell) – planned pilot
- Not a lot!
Offshore EOR In and Around North Sea

- NCS, Microbial, Norne (Statoil) – little recent information
- UKCS, HCG, Magnus (BP) – ongoing
- NCS, HCG, Gullfaks (Statoil) – also a number of other techniques trialed (and a number including surfactant-polymer still under consideration)
- UK, N, D CS, HCG, Alwyn North, Beryl, Brae South, Brage, Brent, Ekofisk, Oseberg Ost, Siri, Smorbukk South, Snorre, Statfjord, Thistle, Ula
- UKCS, polymer, Captain (>80cp, high perm) – pilot ongoing
- UKCS, Bright Water, Strathspey, ultimately 317 Mstb expected at cost of $3.5-4 per stb (Chevron) (also trialed in Arbroath)
- UKCS, Low Salinity, Clair Ridge – planned and announced, other initiatives ongoing
- Detailed CO2 EOR evaluations in Forties, Miller, Gullfaks, Ekofisk and other fields but so far no trials, pilots of full scale implementation but further detailed studies ongoing
Processes

- Traditional
  - Gas (HC, CO2, N2)
  - Chemical (alkaline, surfactant, polymer, gels)
  - Thermal (may be relevant for viscous/heavy oils)
  - MEOR
- New techniques
  - Low salinity/hardness water flooding
  - ‘Weak gels’ or linked polymer solutions or LPS (in fact fair amount of field application as colloidal dispersion gels (CDG)
  - Bright Water (thermally activated strong gel)
  - Combinations
Results of UK DECC Consultation with Industry - EOR Prize by Process

From Jonathan Thomas, DECC, North Sea EOR – A UK Perspective, IEA EOR Workshop and Symposium, October 2010
2Co Energy (backed by same investors as Denbury Resources) have made a submission for funding from EU under NER300 for CCS project which involves studying the potential to use the captured CO2 for EOR in Talisman’s CNS fields (2Co Energy press release 9 May 2011)

- Other UK submissions also have an element of using captured CO2 for EOR

7 submissions for NER300 funding for CCS projects from the UK alone (4 in NE England, 3 in Scotland), each capturing up to 5 million tonnes CO2 per year! (DECC press release 10 May 2011)
First UK CCS Project (awaiting sanction)

From Jonathan Thomas, DECC, North Sea EOR – A UK Perspective, EAGE EOR Symposium, April 2011
### Systematic Methodology to Rank Potential Low Salinity Opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Certainty</th>
<th>Flag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Petrophysical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation type</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite content of formation</td>
<td>between 6% to 15%</td>
<td>fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite widespread in the reservoir</td>
<td>widespread (&gt;70% reservoir volume)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wettability</td>
<td>intermediate or mixed</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual oil</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>medium (order of hundreds of mD)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>high (&gt;20%)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core samples available</td>
<td>plenty of good quality from relevant zone</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water source</td>
<td>plant on the platform</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available space and weight capacity for the necessary kit</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible to lay/adapt existing pipeline for fresh water delivery</td>
<td>not sure</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot area available</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PVT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil properties - polar content - acid or basic</td>
<td>crude oil</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil viscosity</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir temperature</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connate water composition</td>
<td>high salinity</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall Score

Potential for incremental oil and higher rates (%)

Cost and delay in implementing the low salinity water flooding (%)

- high potential, low cost
- high potential, high cost
- low potential, low cost
- low potential, high cost
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EOR Method</th>
<th>Injected Water Treatment</th>
<th>Oil Composition</th>
<th>Reservoir/Formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low salinity</td>
<td>Reduce salinity and hardness</td>
<td>Important but mechanism not known - probably has to contain polar components</td>
<td>Distributed clays (kaolinite or illite/mica) need to be present. Must be no or minimal swelling clays (montmorillonite/chlorite)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline</td>
<td>Reduce hardness</td>
<td>Has to contain acid groups. As a guide acid number &gt;0.2 mg KOH/g</td>
<td>Must be no or minimal swelling clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDG/LPS</td>
<td>Not clear if treatment required</td>
<td>Probably not important</td>
<td>Wide-ish pore/pore throat size distribution. On water wet side. High-ish Sorw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM/HPAM</td>
<td>Reduce salinity and hardness</td>
<td>Not important but requires adverse mobility ratio</td>
<td>Temperature &lt; 70°C Must be no or minimal swelling clays Requires moderate heterogeneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthan</td>
<td>Requirement to add biocide</td>
<td>Not important but requires adverse mobility ratio</td>
<td>Temperature &lt; 90°C Must be no or minimal swelling clays Requires moderate heterogeneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfactant</td>
<td>Need to adjust salinity and hardness to obtain optimal performance but not necessarily to low values. Addition of a co-surfactant or alcohol changes the optimal salinity/hardness</td>
<td>Surfactant ‘cocktail’ has to be formulated to work with the specific oil</td>
<td>The lower the clay content the lower the adsorption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

• Amount of offshore EOR to date very disappointing
• We know where the remaining oil is (no need for exploration); we have infrastructure in place; but time limited opportunity
• Traditional and some newer EOR processes to consider
• Requires additional skills; training and experience an issue; no track record; IOCs have cut back on R&D
• Most likely requires more central planning and sharing of research and experience
• Implementation of facilities to adjust water chemistry (hardness and salinity) could act as a gateway to the implementation of other water based methods (either singly or in combination)
• Climate change imperatives are likely to make significant supplies of CO2 available